

Appln. No. 09/856,319  
Amd. dated July 29, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of June 9, 2004

**REMARKS**

The Office Action has been carefully reviewed. No claim is allowed. Claim 32 presently appear in this application and define patentable subject matter warranting its allowance. Reconsideration and allowance are hereby respectfully solicited.


Claim 32 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This rejection is believed to be obviated by the amendment to claim 32 to recite that the increased level is compared to a baseline of no pancreatitis (i.e., before induction of pancreatitis).

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are therefore respectfully requested.

In view of the above, the claim complies with 35 U.S.C. §112 and define patentable subject matter warranting its allowance. Favorable consideration and early allowance are earnestly urged.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By   
Allen C. Yun  
Registration No. 37,971

ACY:pp  
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\A\Aoyb\Uemuras\PTO\amd final OA 6-9-04.doc